arXiv:1411.6122v1 [math.RA] 22 Nov 2014

CENTRALIZING TRACES AND LIE TRIPLE ISOMORPHISMS ON GENERALIZED MATRIX ALGEBRAS

AJDA FOŠNER, XINFENG LIANG, FENG WEI AND ZHANKUI XIAO

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ be the center of $\mathcal{G}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}\colon \mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G}\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping and $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}\colon \mathcal{G}\longrightarrow\mathcal{G}$ is the trace of $\mathfrak{q}$. We describe the form of $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ satisfying the condition $[\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}(G), G]\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ for all $G\in\mathcal{G}$. The question of when $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ has the proper form is considered. Using the aforementioned trace function, we establish sufficient conditions for each Lie triple isomorphism of $\mathcal{G}$ to be almost standard. As applications we characterize Lie triple isomorphisms of full matrix algebras, of triangular algebras and of certain unital algebras with nontrivial idempotents. Some topics for future research closely related to our current work are proposed at the end of this article.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a commutative ring with identity, $\mathcal{A}$ be a unital algebra over $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ be the center of $\mathcal{A}$. Let us denote the commutator or the Lie product of the elements $a,b\in\mathcal{A}$ by $[a,b]=ab-ba$. Recall that an $\mathcal{R}$-linear mapping $\mathfrak{f}:\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$ is said to be *centralizing* if $[\mathfrak{f}(a),a]\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ for all $a\in\mathcal{A}$. In particular, the mapping $\mathfrak{f}$ is called *commuting* if $[\mathfrak{f}(a),a]=0$ for all $a\in\mathcal{A}$. When we investigate a centralizing (or commuting) mapping, the principal task is to describe its form. The identity mapping and every mapping which has its range in $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ are two classical examples of commuting mappings. Furthermore, the sum and the pointwise product of commuting mappings are also commuting mappings. For instance, the mapping

$$\mathfrak{f}(x)=\lambda_0(x)x^n+\lambda_1(x)x^{n-1}+\cdots\cdots+\lambda_{n-1}(x)x+\lambda_n(x),\quad \lambda_i\colon\mathcal{A}\longrightarrow\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{1.1}$$

is commuting for any choice of central maps λ_i. Of course, there are other examples; namely, elements commuting with x may not necessarily be equal to a polynomial in x (with central coefficients) and so in most rings there is a variety of possibilities of how to find commuting maps different from those described in (1.1). We encourage the reader to read the well-written survey paper [20], in which the author presented the development of the theory of commuting mappings and their applications in details.

Let n be a positive integer and $\mathfrak{q}\colon\mathcal{A}^n\longrightarrow\mathcal{A}$. We say that $\mathfrak{q}$ is *n-linear* if $\mathfrak{q}(a_1,\cdots,a_n)$ is $\mathcal{R}$-linear in each variable a_i, that is, $\mathfrak{q}(a_1,\cdots,ra_i+sb_i,\cdots,a_n)=r\mathfrak{q}(a_1,\cdots,a_i,\cdots,a_n)+s\mathfrak{q}(a_1,\cdots,b_i,\cdots,a_n)$ for all $r,s\in\mathcal{R},a_i,b_i\in\mathcal{A}$ and $i=$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A78, 15A86, 16W10.

Key words and phrases. Centralizing trace, Lie triple isomorphism, generalized matrix algebra, triangular algebra, functional identity, Banach space nest algebra.

The work of the fourth author is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11226068 and No. 11301195).

$1, 2, \cdots, n$. The mapping $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}\colon \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ defined by $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}(a) = \mathfrak{q}(a, a, \cdots, a)$ is called a *trace* of $\mathfrak{q}$. We say that a centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is *proper* if it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \mu_i(a) a^{n-i}, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A},$$

where $\mu_i (0 \leq i \leq n)$ is a mapping from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ and every $\mu_i (0 \leq i \leq n)$ is in fact a trace of an i-linear mapping $\mathfrak{q}_i$ from $\mathcal{A}^i$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Let $n = 1$ and $\mathfrak{f}\colon A \longrightarrow A$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-linear mapping. In this case, an arbitrary trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ of $\mathfrak{f}$ exactly equals to itself. Moreover, if a centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ of $\mathfrak{f}$ is proper, then it has the form

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{f}}(a) = za + \eta(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A},$$

where $z \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ and η is an $\mathcal{R}$-linear mapping from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Let us see the case of $n = 2$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}\colon \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping. If a centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is proper, then it is of the form

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{g}}(a) = za^2 + \mu(a)a + \nu(a), \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A},$$

where $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$, μ is an $\mathcal{R}$-linear mapping from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$ and ν as a trace of some bilinear mapping maps $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})$. Brešar started the study of commuting and centralizing traces of multilinear mappings in his series of works [17, 18, 19, 20], where he investigated the structure of centralizing traces of (bi-)linear mappings on prime rings. It was proved that in certain rings, in particular, prime rings of characteristic different from 2 and 3, every centralizing trace of a biadditive mapping is commuting. Moreover, every centralizing mapping of a prime ring of characteristic not 2 is of the proper form and is actually commuting. An exciting discovery [41] is that every centralizing trace of arbitrary bilinear mapping on triangular algebras is commuting in some additional conditions. It has turned out that this study is closely related to the problem of characterizing Lie isomorphisms or Lie derivations of associative rings [7, 13, 21, 22, 41, 75]. Lee et al further generalized Brešar's results by showing that each commuting trace of an arbitrary multilinear mapping on a prime ring also has the proper form [51]. On the other hand, centralizing mappings are looked on as the most basic and important examples of functional identities [21].

Cheung in [31] studied commuting mappings of triangular algebras (e.g., of upper triangular matrix algebras and nest algebras). He determined the class of triangular algebras for which every commuting mapping is proper. Xiao and Wei [74] extended Cheung's result to the case of generalized matrix algebras. They established sufficient conditions for each commuting mapping of a generalized matrix algebra $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ to be proper. Benkovič and Eremita [13] considered commuting traces of bilinear mappings on a triangular algebra $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ O & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. They gave conditions under which every commuting trace of a triangular algebra $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ O & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is proper. More recently, Franca [42, 43] investigated commuting mappings on subsets of matrices that are not closed under addition such as invertible matrices, singular matrices, matrices of rank-k, etc. The research results demonstrate that the commuting mappings on these sets basically have the proper form. Liu [55, 56] immediately extended Franca's works to the case of centralizing mappings. These works explicitly imply that functional identities can be developed to the sets that are not closed under addition. The form of commuting traces of multilinear mappings of upper triangular matrix algebras was earlier described in [5]. Simultaneously, some researchers engage in characterizing k-commuting mappings of generalized matrix algebras and those of unital

algebras with notrivial idempotents, see [36, 53, 67]. Motivated by Benkovič and Eremita's work [13], the present authors [41] studied centralizing traces of triangular algebas. It is shown that under some mild conditions every centralizing trace of an arbitrary bilinear mapping on a triangular algebra $\mathcal{T}$ is commuting. It is natural to ask the following question

Question 1.1. [41, Qustion 5.1] Let $\mathcal{G} = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ be a generalized matrix algebra over $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathfrak{q}\colon \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping. Under what conditions, every centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ has the proper form ?

One of the main aims of this article is to address the above question and provide a sufficient condition for each centralizing trace of arbitrary bilinear mappings on a generalized matrix algebra $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ to be proper. Consequently, this makes it possible for us to characterize centralizing traces of bilinear mappings on full matrix algebras, those of bilinear mappings on triangular algebras and those of bilinear mappings on certain unital algebras with nontrivial idempotents.

Another important purpose of this article is devoted to the Lie triple isomorphisms problem of generalized matrix algebras. At his 1961 AMS Hour Talk, Herstein proposed many problems concerning the structure of Jordan and Lie mappings in associative simple and prime rings [44]. The renowned Herstein's Lie-type mapping research program was formulated since then. The involved Lie mappings mainly include Lie isomorphisms, Lie triple isomorphisms, Lie derivations and Lie triple derivations et al. Given a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ with identity and two associative $\mathcal{R}$-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, one define a *Lie triple isomorphism* from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{B}$ to be an $\mathcal{R}$-linear bijective mapping $\mathfrak{l}$ satisfying the condition

$$\mathfrak{l}([[a,b],c]) = [[\mathfrak{l}(a),\mathfrak{l}(b)],\mathfrak{l}(c)] \quad \forall a,b,c \in \mathcal{A}.$$

For example, an isomorphism or the negative of an anti-isomorphism of one algebra onto another is also a Lie isomorphism. Furthermore, every Lie isomorphism and every Jordan isomorphism are Lie triple isomorphisms. One can ask whether the converse is true in some special cases. That is, does every Lie triple isomorphism between certain associative algebras arise from isomorphisms and anti-isomorphisms in the sense of modulo mappings whose range is central ? If $\mathfrak{m}$ is an isomorphism or the negative of an anti-isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-linear mapping from $\mathcal{A}$ into the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{B})$ of $\mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathfrak{n}([[a,b],c]) = 0$ for all $a,b,c \in \mathcal{A}$, then the mapping

$$\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n} \qquad (\spadesuit)$$

is a Lie triple homomorphism. We shall say that a Lie triple isomorphism $\mathfrak{l}\colon A \longrightarrow B$ is *standard* in the case where it can be expressed in the preceding form ($\spadesuit$).

The resolution of Herstein's Lie isomorphisms problem in matrix algebra background has been well-known for a long time. Hua [45] proved that every Lie automorphism of the full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{D})(n \geq 3)$ over a division ring $\mathcal{D}$ is of the standard form ($\spadesuit$). This result was extended to the nonlinear case by Dolinar [35] and Šemrl [69] and was further refined by them. Doković [33] showed that every Lie automorphism of upper triangular matrix algebras $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathcal{R})$ over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ without nontrivial idempotents has the standard form as well. Marcoux and Sourour [58] classified the linear mappings preserving commutativity in both directions (i.e., $[x,y] = 0$ if and only if $[\mathfrak{f}(x),\mathfrak{f}(y)] = 0$) on upper triangular matrix algebras $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{F})$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$. Such a mapping is either the sum of an algebraic automorphism of $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{F})$ (which is inner) and a mapping into the center $\mathbb{F}I$, or the sum

of the negative of an algebraic anti-automorphism and a mapping into the center $\mathbb{F}I$. The classification of the Lie automorphisms of $\mathcal{T}_n(\mathbb{F})$ is obtained as a consequence. Benkovič and Eremita [13] directly applied the theory of commuting traces to the study of Lie isomorphisms on a triangular algebra $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ O & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. They provided sufficient conditions under which every commuting trace of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ O & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is proper. This is directly applied to the study of Lie isomorphisms of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ O & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. It turns out that under some mild assumptions, each Lie isomorphism of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ O & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ has the standard form (♠). These results are further extended to the case of generalized matrix algebras [75]. The present authors [41] investigated Lie triple isomorphisms of triangular algebas via centralizing trace techniques. We establish sufficient conditions for each Lie triple isomorphism on $\mathcal{T}$ to be almost standard. This naturally gives rise to the following question.

Question 1.2. [75, Qustion 5.4] Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ and $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}'(A', M', N', B')$ be generalized matrix algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ with $1/2 \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $\mathfrak{l}\colon \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be a Lie triple isomorphism. Under what conditions does $\mathfrak{l}$ has a decomposition expression similar to (♠) ?

Simultaneously, Lie triple isomorphisms between rings and between (non-)self-adjoint operator algebras have received a fair amount of attention and have also been intensively studied. The involved rings and operator algebras include (semi-)prime rings, the algebra of bounded linear operators, C^*-algebras, von Neumann algebras, H^*-algebras, Banach space nest algebras, Hilbert space nest algebras, reflexive algebras, see [1, 2, 3, 26, 27, 28, 29, 57, 59, 60, 61, 62, 65, 66, 69, 70, 71, 76, 78]. One recent remarkable work concerning Lie isomorphisms between Bnanach space nest algebras is due to Qi, Hou and Deng [68]. Let $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ be nests on Banach spaces X and Y over the (real or complex) field $\mathbb{F}$ and let Alg$\mathcal{N}$ and Alg$\mathcal{M}$ be the associated nest algebras, respectively. It is shown that a mapping $\Phi\colon \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{M}$ is a Lie ring isomorphism (i.e., Φ is additive, Lie multiplicative and bijective) if and only if Φ has the form $\Phi = TAT^{-1} + h(A)I$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ or $\Phi(A) = TA^*T^{-1} + h(A)I$ for all $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$, where h is an additive functional vanishing on all commutators and T is an invertible bounded linear or conjugate linear operator when $\dim X = \infty$; T is a bijective τ-linear transformation for some field automorphism τ of $\mathbb{F}$ when $\dim X < \infty$.

This article is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the definition of generalized matrix algebra and some classical examples. In Section 3 we will give a suitable answer to Question 1.1 and build sufficient conditions for each centralizing trace of an arbitrary bilinear mapping on a generalized matrix algebra $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ to be proper (Theorem 3.4). And then we apply this result to describe the commuting traces of various generalized matrix algebras. In Section 4 we will treat Question 1.2 and give a sufficient condition under which every Lie triple isomorphism from a generalized matrix algebra into another one has the almost standard form (Theorem 4.3). As corollaries of Theorem 4.3, characterizations of Lie triple isomorphisms on triangular algebras, on full matrix algebras and on certain unital algebras with nontrivial idempotents are obtained. The last section presents some potential further research topics related to our current work.

2. Generalized Matrix Algebras and Examples

Let us begin with the definition of generalized matrix algebras given by a Morita context. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a commutative ring with identity. A *Morita context* consists of

two unital $\mathcal{R}$-algebras A and B, two bimodules ${}_AM_B$ and ${}_BN_A$, and two bimodule homomorphisms called the pairings $\Phi_{MN} : M \underset{B}{\otimes} N \longrightarrow A$ and $\Psi_{NM} : N \underset{A}{\otimes} M \longrightarrow B$ satisfying the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \underset{B}{\otimes} N \underset{A}{\otimes} M & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{MN}\otimes I_M} & A \underset{A}{\otimes} M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle I_M\otimes\Psi_{NM}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\ M \underset{B}{\otimes} B & \xrightarrow{\cong} & M \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N \underset{A}{\otimes} M \underset{B}{\otimes} N & \xrightarrow{\Psi_{NM}\otimes I_N} & B \underset{B}{\otimes} N \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle I_N\otimes\Phi_{MN}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} \\ N \underset{A}{\otimes} A & \xrightarrow{\cong} & N\,. \end{array}$$

Let us write this Morita context as $(A, B, M, N, \Phi_{MN}, \Psi_{NM})$. We refer the reader to [64] for the basic properties of Morita contexts. If $(A, B, M, N, \Phi_{MN}, \Psi_{NM})$ is a Morita context, then the set

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} A & M \\ N & B \end{array}\right] = \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} a & m \\ n & b \end{array}\right] \middle| a \in A, m \in M, n \in N, b \in B \right\}$$

form an $\mathcal{R}$-algebra under matrix-like addition and matrix-like multiplication, where at least one of the two bimodules M and N is distinct from zero. Such an $\mathcal{R}$-algebra is usually called a *generalized matrix algebra* of order 2 and is denoted by

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & M \\ N & B \end{array}\right].$$

In a similar way, one can define a generalized matrix algebra of order $n > 2$. It was shown that up to isomorphism, arbitrary generalized matrix algebra of order n ($n \geq 2$) is a generalized matrix algebra of order 2 [52, Example 2.2]. If one of the modules M and N is zero, then $\mathcal{G}$ exactly degenerates to an *upper triangular algebra* or a *lower triangular algebra*. In this case, we denote the resulted upper triangular algebra (resp. lower triangular algebra) by

$$\mathcal{T}^{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{T}(A, M, B) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & M \\ O & B \end{array}\right] \quad \left(\text{resp. } \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{T}(A, N, B) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} A & O \\ N & B \end{array}\right]\right)$$

Note that our current generalized matrix algebras contain those generalized matrix algebras in the sense of Brown [24] as special cases. Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{R})$ be the full matrix algebra consisting of all $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathcal{R}$. It is worth to point out that the notion of generalized matrix algebras efficiently unifies triangular algebras with full matrix algebras together. The feature of our systematic work is to deal with all questions related to (non-)linear mappings of triangular algebras and of full matrix algebras under a unified frame, which is the admired generalized matrix algebras frame, see [41, 52, 53, 54, 74, 75].

Let us list some classical examples of generalized matrix algebras which will be revisited in the sequel (Section 3 and Section 4). Since these examples have already been presented in many papers, we just state their title without any details.

(a) Unital algebras with nontrivial idempotents;
(b) Full matrix algebras;
(c) Inflated algebras;
(d) Upper and lower triangular matrix algebras;
(e) Nest algebras over a Hilbert space;

(f) Standard operator algebras over a Banach space.

These generalized matrix algebras regularly appear in the theory of associative algebras and noncommutative Noetherian algebras in the most diverse situations, which is due to its powerful persuasiveness and intuitive illustration effect. However, people pay less attention to the linear mappings of generalized matrix algebras. It was Krylov who initiated the study of linear mappings on generalized matrix algebras from the point of classifying view [47]. Since then many articles are devoted to this topic, and a number of interesting results are obtained (see [12, 15, 37, 38, 52, 53, 54, 67, 74, 75]). Nevertheless, it leaves so much to be desired. The representation theory, homological behavior, K-theory of generalized matrix algebras are intensively intesgivated by Krylov and his coauthors in [48, 49, 50]. We will propose some open questions concerning linear mappings and functional identities of generalized matrix algebras in Section 5 of this article. Therefore, generalized matrix algebras are indeed one class of great potential and inspiring associative algebras. We can never emphasize on the importance of generalized matrix algebras too much.

3. Centralizing traces of generalized matrix algebras.

In this section we will establish sufficient conditions for each centralizing trace of an arbitrary bilinear mapping on a generalized matrix algebra $\mathcal{G}$ to be proper (Theorem 3.4). Consequently, we are able to describe centralizing traces of bilinear mappings on triangular algebras, on full matrix algebras and on certain unital algebras with nontrivial idempotents. The most important advantage is that Theorem 3.4 will be used to characterize Lie triple isomorphisms from a generalized matrix algebra into another in Section 4.

Throughout this section, we denote the generalized matrix algebra of order 2 originated from the Morita context $(A, B, {}_A M_B, {}_B N_A, \Phi_{MN}, \Psi_{NM})$ by

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B) = \begin{bmatrix} A & M \\ N & B \end{bmatrix},$$

where at least one of the two bimodules M and N is distinct from zero. We always assume that M is faithful as a left A-module and also as a right B-module, but no any constraint conditions on N. The center of $\mathcal{G}$ is

$$\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}) = \{\, a \oplus b \mid am = mb,\ na = bn,\ \forall\ m \in M,\ \forall n \in N \}\,.$$

Indeed, by [47, Lemma 1] we know that the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ consists of all diagonal matrices $a \oplus b$, where $a \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$, $b \in \mathcal{Z}(B)$ and $am = mb$, $na = bn$ for all $m \in M, n \in N$. However, in our situation which M is faithful as a left A-module and also as a right B-module, the conditions that $a \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$ and $b \in \mathcal{Z}(B)$ become redundant and can be deleted. Indeed, if $am = mb$ for all $m \in M$, then for any $a' \in A$ we get

$$(aa' - a'a)m = a(a'm) - a'(am) = (a'm)b - a'(mb) = 0.$$

The assumption that M is faithful as a left A-module leads to $aa' - a'a = 0$ and hence $a \in \mathcal{Z}(A)$. Likewise, we also have $b \in \mathcal{Z}(B)$.

Let us define two natural $\mathcal{R}$-linear projections $\pi_A : \mathcal{G} \to A$ and $\pi_B : \mathcal{G} \to B$ by

$$\pi_A : \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto a \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_B : \begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto b.$$

By the above paragraph, it is not difficult to see that $\pi_A(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{Z}(A)$ and that $\pi_B(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{Z}(B)$. Given an element $a \in \pi_A(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$, if $a \oplus b, a \oplus b' \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$, then we have $am = mb = mb'$ for all $m \in M$. Since M is faithful as a right B-module, $b = b'$. That implies there exists a unique $b \in \pi_B(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$, which is denoted by $\varphi(a)$, such that $a \oplus b \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$. It is easy to verify that the map $\varphi : \pi_A(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})) \longrightarrow \pi_B(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$ is an algebraic isomorphism such that $am = m\varphi(a)$ and $na = \varphi(a)n$ for all $a \in \pi_A(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})), m \in M, n \in N$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be algebras. Recall an $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$-bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is *loyal* if $a\mathcal{M}b = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B}$. Obviously, each loyal $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$-bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ is faithful as a left $\mathcal{A}$-module and also as a right $\mathcal{B}$-module. We now list some basic facts related to generalized matrix algebras, which can be found in [75].

Lemma 3.1. ([13, Lemma 2.3]) *Let M be a loyal (A, B)-bimodule and let f, g be two arbitrary mappings from M into A. Suppose $f(m)n + g(n)m = 0$ for all $m, n \in M$. If B is noncommutative, then $f = g = 0$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a generalized matrix algebra with a loyal (A, B)-bimodule M. Let $\alpha \in \pi_A(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$ and let $0 \neq a \in A$. If $\alpha a = 0$, then $\alpha = 0$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a generalized matrix algebra with a loyal (A, B)-bimodule M. Then the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ of $\mathcal{G}$ is a domain.*

In this section we will establish sufficient conditions for each centralizing trace of an arbitrary bilinear mapping on generalized matrix algebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ to be proper.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a 2-torsionfree generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathfrak{q}\colon \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping. If*

1. *every commuting linear mapping on A or B is proper;*
2. *$\pi_A(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})) = \mathcal{Z}(A) \neq A$ and $\pi_B(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})) = \mathcal{Z}(B) \neq B$;*
3. *M is loyal,*

then every centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_\mathfrak{q} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ is proper.

For convenience, let us write $A_1 = A$, $A_2 = M$, $A_3 = N$ and $A_4 = B$. We denote the identity of A_1 by 1 and the identity of A_4 by $1'$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{T}_\mathfrak{q}$ is the trace of the $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping $\mathfrak{q}$. Then there exist $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mappings $f_{ij} : A_i \times A_j \to A_1$, $g_{ij} : A_i \times A_j \to A_2$, $h_{ij} : A_i \times A_j \to A_3$ and $k_{ij} : A_i \times A_j \to A_4$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant 4$, such that

$$\mathfrak{T}_\mathfrak{q} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) & G(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \\ H(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) & K(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \end{bmatrix}, \ \forall \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{G},$$

where

$$F(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant 4} f_{ij}(a_i, a_j),$$

$$G(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant 4} g_{ij}(a_i, a_j),$$

$$H(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant 4} h_{ij}(a_i, a_j),$$

$$K(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant 4}k_{ij}(a_i,a_j).$$

Since $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is centralizing, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\left[\begin{array}{cc}F&G\\H&K\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{cc}a_1&a_2\\a_3&a_4\end{array}\right]\right]\\&=\left[\begin{array}{cc}Fa_1+Ga_3-a_1F-a_2H&Fa_2+Ga_4-a_1G-a_2K\\Ha_1+Ka_3-a_3F-a_4H&Ha_2+Ka_4-a_3G-a_4K\end{array}\right]\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

for all $\left[\begin{array}{cc}a_1&a_2\\a_3&a_4\end{array}\right]\in\mathcal{G}$.

We notice that the proof of Theorem 3.4 is similar with that of [41, Theorem 3.4], but with much more complicated computational process. Now we divide the proof of Theorem 3.4 to a series of lemmas for comfortable reading.

Lemma 3.5. *If $K\colon A_4\times A_4\longrightarrow A_3$ (resp. $K\colon A_1\times A_1\longrightarrow A_2$) is an R-bilinear mapping with $xK(x,x)=0$ (resp. $xK(x,x)=0$) for all $x\in A_4$ (resp. for all $x\in A_1$), then $K(x,x)=0$.*

Proof. Setting $x=1'$, we can obtain that $K(1',1')=0$. Replacing x by $x+1'$ in $K(x,x)x=0$ gives

$$K(x,x)=-(1'+x)(K(1',x)+K(x,1')).\tag{3.2}$$

Substituting $x-1'$ for x in $K(x,x)x=0$, we have

$$K(x,x)=(1'-x)(K(1',x)+K(x,1')).\tag{3.3}$$

Comparing the above two relations we get $(K(1',x)+K(x,1'))=0$. And hence $K(x,x)=0$. □

Lemma 3.6. ([75, Lemma 3.5]) *For any $a_1\in A_1,a_2\in A_2,a_3\in A_3\in A_3,a_4\in A_4$, we have*

$$H(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=h_{13}(a_1,a_3)+h_{23}(a_2,a_3)+h_{33}(a_3,a_3)+h_{34}(a_3,a_4).\tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.7. ([75, Lemma 3.6]) *For any $a_1\in A_1,a_2\in A_2,a_3\in A_3\in A_3,a_4\in A_4$, we have*

$$G(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=g_{12}(a_1,a_2)+g_{22}(a_2,a_2)+g_{23}(a_2,a_3)+g_{24}(a_2,a_4).\tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.8. *With the notations as above, we have*

(1) *$a_1\longmapsto f_{11}(a_1,a_1)$ is a commuting trace,*
$a_1\longmapsto f_{12}(a_1,a_2)$ is a commuting linear mapping for each $a_2\in A_2$,
$a_1\longmapsto f_{13}(a_1,a_3)$ is a commuting linear mapping for each $a_3\in A_3$,
$a_4\longmapsto k_{24}(a_2,a_4)$ is a commuting linear mapping for each $a_2\in A_2$,
$a_4\longmapsto k_{34}(a_3,a_4)$ is a commuting linear mapping for each $a_3\in A_3$,
$a_4\longmapsto k_{44}(a_4,a_4)$ is a commuting trace.

(2) *$[f_{14}(a_1,a_4),a_1]=\varphi^{-1}([k_{11}(a_1,a_1),a_4])\in\mathcal{Z}(A_1)$,*
$[f_{24}(a_2,a_4),a_1]=\varphi^{-1}([k_{12}(a_1,a_2),a_4])\in\mathcal{Z}(A_1)$,
$[f_{34}(a_3,a_4),a_1]=\varphi^{-1}([k_{13}(a_1,a_3),a_4])\in\mathcal{Z}(A_1)$,
$[f_{44}(a_4,a_4),a_1]=\varphi^{-1}([k_{14}(a_1,a_4),a_4])\in\mathcal{Z}(A_1)$.

(3) *$f_{22}(a_2,a_2)\in\mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ and $f_{33}(a_3,a_3)\in\mathcal{Z}(A_1)$,*
$k_{22}(a_2,a_2)\in\mathcal{Z}(A_4)$ and $k_{33}(a_3,a_3)\in\mathcal{Z}(A_4)$.

(4) *$g_{24}(a_2,a_4)a_3-a_2h_{34}(a_3,a_4)=\varphi^{-1}([k_{23}(a_2,a_3),a_4]-a_3g_{24}(a_2,a_4)+h_{34}(a_3,a_4)a_2)$,*
$h_{13}(a_1,a_3)a_2-a_3g_{12}(a_1,a_2)=\varphi([f_{23}(a_2,a_3),a_1]+g_{12}(a_1,a_2)a_3-a_2h_{13}(a_1,a_3))$.

Proof. It follows from the equation (3.1) that

$$[F, a_1] + Ga_3 - a_2H = \varphi^{-1}([K, a_4] + Ha_2 - a_3G) \tag{3.6}$$

for all $a_i \in A_i (1 \leq i \leq 4)$. Taking $a_2 = 0, a_3 = 0$ in (3.6) we obatin

$$\begin{aligned} &[f_{11}(a_1, a_1) + f_{14}(a_1, a_4) + f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1] \\ &= \varphi^{-1}([k_{11}(a_1, a_1) + k_{14}(a_1, a_4) + k_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_4]) \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_4 \in A_4$. Let us choose $a_4 = 0$. Thus $[f_{11}(a_1, a_1), a_1] = 0$. Using similar computation, we get $[k_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_4] = 0$. Replacing a_4 by $-a_4$ in (3.7) yields that

$$[f_{14}(a_1, a_4), a_1] = \varphi^{-1}([k_{11}(a_1, a_1), a_4]) \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$[f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1] = \varphi^{-1}([k_{14}(a_1, a_4), a_4]) \tag{3.9}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_4 \in A_4$. Let us take $a_1 = 0, a_4 = 0$ in (3.6). Then we arrive at that

$$\begin{aligned} &(g_{22}(a_2, a_2) + g_{23}(a_2, a_3))a_3 - a_2(h_{23}(a_2, a_3) + h_{33}(a_3, a_3)) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}((h_{23}(a_2, a_3) + h_{33}(a_3, a_3))a_2 - a_3(g_{22}(a_2, a_2) + g_{23}(a_2, a_3))) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3$. Replacing a_2 by $-a_2$ in (3.10) we have

$$g_{22}(a_2, a_2)a_3 - a_2h_{23}(a_2, a_3) = \varphi^{-1}(h_{23}(a_2, a_3)a_2 - a_3g_{22}(a_2, a_2)) \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$g_{23}(a_2, a_3)a_3 - a_2h_{33}(a_3, a_3) = \varphi^{-1}(h_{33}(a_3, a_3)a_2 - a_3g_{23}(a_2, a_3)) \tag{3.12}$$

for all $a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3, a_4 \in A_4$.

Combining (3.6) with (3.11) and (3.12) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} &[f_{12}(a_1, a_2) + f_{13}(a_1, a_3) + f_{22}(a_2, a_2) + f_{23}(a_2, a_3) \\ &\quad + f_{24}(a_2, a_4) + f_{33}(a_3, a_3) + f_{34}(a_3, a_4), a_1] \\ &\quad + (g_{12}(a_1, a_2) + g_{24}(a_2, a_4))a_3 - a_2(h_{13}(a_1, a_3) + h_{34}(a_3, a_4)) \\ =&\varphi^{-1}([k_{12}(a_1, a_2) + k_{13}(a_1, a_3) + k_{22}(a_2, a_2) \\ &\quad + k_{23}(a_2, a_3) + k_{24}(a_2, a_4) + k_{33}(a_3, a_3) + k_{34}(a_3, a_4), a_4] \\ &\quad - a_3(g_{12}(a_1, a_2) + g_{24}(a_2, a_4)) + (h_{13}(a_1, a_3) + h_{34}(a_3, a_4))a_2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3, a_4 \in A_4$. Substituting $-a_1$ for a_1 in (3.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &[f_{12}(a_1, a_2) + f_{13}(a_1, a_3), a_1] + g_{24}(a_2, a_4)a_3 - a_2h_{34}(a_3, a_4) \\ =&\varphi^{-1}([k_{22}(a_2, a_2) + k_{23}(a_2, a_3) + k_{24}(a_2, a_4) + k_{33}(a_3, a_3) + k_{34}(a_3, a_4), a_4] \\ &\quad - a_3g_{24}(a_2, a_4) + h_{34}(a_3, a_4)a_2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &[f_{22}(a_2, a_2) + f_{23}(a_2, a_3) + f_{24}(a_2, a_4) + f_{33}(a_3, a_3) + f_{34}(a_3, a_4), a_1] \\ &\quad + g_{12}(a_1, a_2)a_3 - a_2h_{13}(a_1, a_3) \\ =&\varphi^{-1}([k_{12}(a_1, a_2) + k_{13}(a_1, a_3), a_4] - a_3g_{12}(a_1, a_2) + h_{13}(a_1, a_3)a_2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3, a_4 \in A_4$. Let us take $a_3 = 0, a_4 = 0$; $a_1 = 0, a_3 = 0$; $a_2 = 0, a_4 = 0$; $a_1 = 0, a_2 = 0$ in (3.14) and (3.15), respectively. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[f_{12}(a_1, a_2), a_1] = 0, \quad [f_{22}(a_2, a_2), a_1] = 0, \\ &[k_{22}(a_2, a_2), a_4] = 0, \quad [k_{24}(a_2, a_4), a_4] = 0, \\ &[f_{13}(a_1, a_2), a_3] = 0, \quad [f_{33}(a_3, a_3), a_1] = 0, \\ &[k_{34}(a_3, a_4), a_4] = 0, \quad [k_{33}(a_3, a_3), a_4] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3, a_4 \in A_4$. Let us choose $a_2 = 0, a_3 = 0$ in (3.15), respectively. We have

$$[f_{34}(a_3, a_4), a_1] = \varphi^{-1}([k_{13}(a_1, a_3), a_4]) \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$[f_{24}(a_2, a_4), a_1] = \varphi^{-1}([k_{12}(a_1, a_2), a_4]) \tag{3.17}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3, a_4 \in A_4$. Setting $a_1 = 0$ in (3.14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &g_{24}(a_2, a_4)a_3 - a_2 h_{34}(a_3, a_4) \\ =&\varphi^{-1}([k_{23}(a_2, a_3), a_4] - a_3 g_{24}(a_2, a_4) + h_{34}(a_3, a_4)a_2) \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

for all $a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3, a_4 \in A_4$. Putting $a_4 = 0$ in (3.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &[f_{23}(a_2, a_3), a_1] + g_{12}(a_1, a_2)a_3 - a_2 h_{13}(a_1, a_3) \\ =&\varphi^{-1}(h_{13}(a_1, a_3)a_2 - a_3 g_{12}(a_1, a_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3$. □

Lemma 3.9. ([75, Lemma 3.9]) *We have $f_{22}(a_2, a_2) \oplus k_{22}(a_2, a_2) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ and $f_{33}(a_3, a_3) \oplus k_{33}(a_3, a_3) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ for all $a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3$.*

Lemma 3.10. *There exist linear mapping $\xi\colon A_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ and bilinear mapping $\eta\colon A_1 \times A_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ such that $f_{12}(a_1, a_2) = \xi(a_2)a_1 + \eta(a_1, a_2)$ for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2$.*

Proof. Since $a_1 \longmapsto f_{12}(a_1, a_2)$ is commuting linear mapping for each $a_2 \in A_2$, there exist mappings $\xi\colon A_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ and $\eta\colon A_1 \times A_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ such that

$$f_{12}(a_1, a_2) = \xi(a_2)a_1 + \eta(a_1, a_2),$$

where η is R-linear in the first argument for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2$. Let us show that ξ is R-linear and η is R-bilinear. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{12}(a_1, a_2 + b_2) &= \xi(a_2 + b_2)a_1 + \eta(a_1, a_2 + b_2) \\ f_{12}(a_1, a_2) + f_{12}(a_1, b_2) &= \xi(a_2)a_1 + \eta(a_1, a_2) + \xi(b_2)a_1 + \eta(a_1, b_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(\xi(a_2 + b_2) - \xi(a_2) - \xi(b_2))a_1 \\ &+ \eta(a_1, a_2 + b_2) - \eta(a_1, a_1) - \eta(a_1, b_2) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_2, b_2 \in A_2$. Note that ξ and η map into $\mathcal{Z}(A_1)$. Thus $(\xi(a_2 + b_2) - \xi(a_2) - \xi(b_2))[a_1, b_1] = 0$ for all $a_1, b_1 \in A_1$, and $a_2, b_2 \in A_2$. Since A_1 is non-commutative, $\xi(a_2 + b_2) = \xi(a_2) + \xi(b_2)$. That is, $\xi\colon A_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-linear mapping. Consequently, η is $\mathcal{R}$-linear in the second argument and $\eta\colon A_1 \times A_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ is a bilinear mapping. □

Lemma 3.11. *$f_{44}(a_4, a_4) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ and $k_{11}(a_1, a_1) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4)$.*

Proof. It follows from the equation (3.1) that

$$Ha_1 + Ka_3 - a_3F - a_4H = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

Let us take $a_4 = 0$ in (3.20). Using Lemma 3.9 we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(h_{13}(a_1, a_3) + h_{23}(a_2, a_3) + h_{33}(a_3, a_3))a_1\\ &+(k_{11}(a_1, a_1) + k_{12}(a_1, a_1) + k_{13}(a_1, a_3) + k_{23}(a_2, a_3))a_3\\ &-a_3(f_{11}(a_1, a_1) + f_{12}(a_1, a_1) + f_{13}(a_1, a_3) + f_{23}(a_2, a_3)) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Replacing a_1 by $-a_1$ in (3.21) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&h_{13}(a_1, a_3)a_1 + (k_{11}(a_1, a_1) + k_{23}(a_2, a_3))a_3\\ &-a_3(f_{11}(a_1, a_1) + f_{23}(a_2, a_3)) = 0\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(h_{23}(a_2, a_3) + h_{33}(a_3, a_3))a_1 + (k_{12}(a_1, a_2) + k_{13}(a_1, a_3))a_3\\ &-a_3(f_{12}(a_1, a_2) + f_{13}(a_1, a_3)) = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Combining (3.22) with (3.23) yields

$$h_{23}(a_2, a_3)a_1 = a_3f_{12}(a_1, a_2) - k_{12}(a_1, a_2)a_3, \tag{3.24}$$

$$h_{33}(a_3, a_3)a_1 = a_3f_{13}(a_1, a_3) - k_{13}(a_1, a_3)a_3, \tag{3.25}$$

$$h_{13}(a_1, a_3)a_1 = a_3f_{11}(a_1, a_1) - k_{11}(a_1, a_1)a_3, \tag{3.26}$$

$$a_3f_{23}(a_2, a_3) = k_{23}(a_2, a_3)a_3. \tag{3.27}$$

Taking $a_1 = 0$ in (3.20) and using similar computational procedures, we obtain

$$a_4h_{34}(a_3, a_4) = k_{44}(a_4, a_4)a_3 - a_3f_{44}(a_4, a_4), \tag{3.28}$$

$$a_4h_{33}(a_3, a_3) = k_{34}(a_3, a_4)a_3 - a_3f_{34}(a_3, a_4), \tag{3.29}$$

$$a_4h_{23}(a_2, a_3) = k_{24}(a_2, a_4)a_3 - a_3f_{24}(a_2, a_4), \tag{3.30}$$

In view of the relation (3.1) we have

$$Fa_2 + Ga_4 - a_1G - a_2K = 0. \tag{3.31}$$

Considering (3.31) and using similar computational procedures yield

$$a_1g_{22}(a_2, a_2) = f_{12}(a_1, a_2)a_2 - a_2k_{12}(a_1, a_2), \tag{3.32}$$

$$a_1g_{23}(a_2, a_3) = f_{13}(a_1, a_3)a_2 - a_2k_{13}(a_1, a_3), \tag{3.33}$$

$$a_1g_{12}(a_1, a_2) = f_{11}(a_1, a_1)a_2 - a_2k_{11}(a_1, a_1), \tag{3.34}$$

$$f_{23}(a_2, a_3)a_2 = a_2k_{23}(a_2, a_3), \tag{3.35}$$

$$g_{24}(a_2, a_4)a_4 = a_2k_{44}(a_4, a_4) - f_{44}(a_4, a_4)a_2, \tag{3.36}$$

$$g_{23}(a_2, a_3)a_4 = a_2k_{34}(a_3, a_4) - f_{34}(a_3, a_4)a_2, \tag{3.37}$$

$$g_{22}(a_2, a_2)a_4 = a_2k_{24}(a_2, a_4) - f_{24}(a_2, a_4)a_2. \tag{3.38}$$

The identities (3.24) − (3.30) together with (3.20) imply that

$$h_{34}(a_3, a_4)a_1 + k_{14}(a_1, a_4)a_3 - a_3f_{14}(a_1, a_4) - a_4h_{13}(a_1, a_3) = 0. \tag{3.39}$$

Replacing a_3 by a_4a_3 in (3.28) and substracting the left multiplication of (3.28) by a_4 give $a_4(h_{34}(a_4a_3, a_4) - a_4h_{34}(a_3, a_4)) = 0$. Let us take $K(x, y) = h_{34}(xa_3, y) - xh_{34}(a_3, y)$, where $x, y \in A_4$. We can know that $K(x, y)\colon A_4 \times A_4 \longrightarrow A_3$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping and that $a_4K(a_4, a_4) = 0$. According to Lemma 3.5, we get

$$h_{34}(a_4a_3, a_4) = a_4h_{34}(a_3, a_4) \tag{3.40}$$

for all $a_3 \in A_3, a_4 \in A_4$.

Using (3.26) and those similar computational procedures, we also have

$$h_{13}(a_1, a_3a_1) = h_{13}(a_1, a_3)a_1. \tag{3.41}$$

Replacing a_3 by a_4a_3 in (3.26) and substracting the left multiplcation of (3.26) by a_4 gives

$$(h_{13}(a_1, a_4a_3) - a_4h_{13}(a_1, a_3))a_1 = -[k_{11}(a_1, a_1), a_4]a_3 \tag{3.42}$$

Substituting a_4a_3 for a_3 in (3.39), substracting the left multiplication of (3.39) by a_4 and using (3.40) together we conclude

$$[k_{14}(a_1, a_4), a_4]a_3 = a_4(h_{13}(a_1, a_4a_3) - a_4h_{13}(a_1, a_3)) \tag{3.43}$$

Combining the right multiplication of (3.43) by a_1 with the left multiplication of (3.42) by a_4 we arrive at

$$[k_{14}(a_1, a_4), a_4]a_3a_1 = (-a_4[k_{11}(a_1, a_1), a_4])a_3 \tag{3.44}$$

By (3.9) and (3.44) it follows that

$$a_3(-a_1[f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1]) = a_4[k_{11}(a_1, a_1), a_4]a_3. \tag{3.45}$$

In a similar way, the equations (3.32) − (3.38) together with (3.31) imply that

$$g_{12}(a_1, a_2)a_4 + f_{14}(a_1, a_4)a_2 = a_1g_{24}(a_2, a_4) + a_2k_{14}(a_1, a_4) \tag{3.46}$$

Replacing a_2 by a_1a_2 in (3.34) and then substracting the left multiplication of (3.34) by a_1 we have

$$g_{12}(a_1, a_1a_2) = a_1g_{12}(a_1, a_2) \tag{3.47}$$

Substituting a_2a_4 for a_2 in (3.36) and substracting the right multiplication of (3.36) by a_4, one get

$$g_{24}(a_2a_4, a_4) = g_{24}(a_2, a_4)a_4 \tag{3.48}$$

Replacing a_2 by a_1a_2 in (3.36) and substracting the left multiplication of (3.36) by a_1, we obtain

$$(g_{24}(a_1a_2, a_4) - a_1g_{24}(a_2, a_4))a_4 = (-[f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1])a_2 \tag{3.49}$$

Substituting a_1a_2 for a_2 in (3.46), substracting the left multiplication of (3.46) by a_1 and using (3.47) together, we obtain

$$[f_{14}(a_1, a_4), a_1]a_2 = a_1(g_{24}(a_1a_2, a_4) - a_1g_{24}(a_2, a_4)). \tag{3.50}$$

Combining the left multiplication of (3.49) by a_1 and right multiplication of (3.50) by a_4, and using (3.8) yields

$$(-[f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1])a_1a_2 = a_2a_4[k_{11}(a_1, a_1), a_4]. \tag{3.51}$$

Considering the center of generalized matrix algebras and combining (3.45) with (3.51) gives

$$(-[f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1]a_1) \oplus a_4[k_{11}(a_1, a_1), a_4] \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}).$$

Then $a_1[f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1] \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ for all $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_4 \in A_4$. Commuting with $b_1 \in A_1$ gives $[f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1][a_1, b_1] = 0$. If $a_1 \notin \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$, then there exists $b_1 \in A_1$ such that $[a_1, b_1] \neq 0$. In view of Lemma 3.2, we get $[f_{44}(a_4, a_4), a_1] = 0$ for all $a_1 \in A_1$. That is, $f_{44}(a_4, a_4) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$. It follows from the relation (3.9) that $[k_{14}(a_1, a_4), a_4] = 0$. This implies that $a_4 \longmapsto k_{14}(a_1, a_4)$ is a commuting linear mapping for each $a_1 \in A_1$. Similarly, one can show $[k_{11}(a_1, a_1), a_4] = 0$. That is, $k_{11}(a_1, a_1) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4)$. By the relation (3.8) we obtain $[f_{14}(a_1, a_4), a_1] = 0$. That is, $a_1 \longmapsto f_{14}(a_1, a_4)$ is a commuting linear mapping for each $a_4 \in A_4$. □

Lemma 3.12. *$f_{34}(a_3, a_4) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ and $k_{13}(a_1, a_3) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4)$.*

Proof. Let us set $a_4 = 1'$ in (3.16). Then we get $f_{34}(a_3, 1') \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$. Taking $a_4 = 1'$ into (3.37) leads to

$$g_{23}(a_2, a_3) = a_2\omega(a_3), \tag{3.52}$$

where $\omega(a_3) = k_{34}(a_3, 1') - \varphi(f_{34}(a_3, 1'))$ for all $a_2 \in A_2$, $a_3 \in A_3$. It follows from (3.37) and (3.52) that

$$a_2(\omega(a_3)a_4 - k_{34}(a_3, a_4)) = -f_{34}(a_3, a_4)a_2. \tag{3.53}$$

Replacing a_2 by a_1a_2 in (3.53) and substracting the left multiplication of (3.53) by a_1 yields $[f_{34}(a_3, a_4), a_1]a_2 = 0$. Note that M is loyal as an (A, B)-bimodule. Thus $[f_{34}(a_3, a_4), a_1] = 0$. According to (3.16), we obtain $[k_{13}(a_1, a_3), a_4] = 0$. □

Lemma 3.13. *$f_{24}(a_2, a_4) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ and $k_{12}(a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4)$.*

Proof. Let us set $a_1 = 1$ in (3.17). Then we have $k_{12}(1, a_2) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4)$. Taking $a_1 = 1$ into (3.32) gives

$$g_{22}(a_2, a_2) = \psi(a_2)a_2, \tag{3.54}$$

where $\psi(a_2) = (f_{12}(1, a_2) - \varphi^{-1}(k_{12}(1, a_2)))$ for all $a_2 \in A_2$. Combining (3.32) with Lemma 3.10 yields

$$a_1(\psi(a_2) - \xi(a_2))a_2 = a_2(\varphi(\eta(a_1, a_2)) - k_{12}(a_1, a_2)). \tag{3.55}$$

Let us write $Y(a_2) = \psi(a_2) - \xi(a_2)$, $X(a_1, a_2) = \varphi(\eta(a_1, a_2)) - k_{12}(a_1, a_2)$. Setting $a_1 = 1$ in (3.55) leads to $(Y(a_2) - \varphi^{-1}(X(1, a_2)))a_2 = 0$ for $a_2 \in A_2$. We assert that

$$Y(a_2) = \varphi^{-1}(X(1, a_2)). \tag{3.56}$$

In fact, replacing a_2 by $m + n$ in $(Y(a_2) - \varphi^{-1}(X(1, a_2)))a_2 = 0$ we get

$$(Y(n) - \varphi^{-1}(X(1, n)))m + (Y(m) - \varphi^{-1}(X(1, m)))n = 0$$

for all $m, n \in A_2$. In view of Lemma 3.1, we have $Y(n) = \varphi^{-1}(X(1, n))$, $Y(m) = \varphi^{-1}(X(1, m))$ for all $m, n \in A_2$. So the assertion holds. Thus (3.55) can be rewritten as

$$a_1\varphi^{-1}(X(1, a_2))a_2 = a_2X(a_1, a_2) \tag{3.57}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_2 \in A_2$. Substituting $m + n$ for a_2 in (3.57), we obtain

$$a_1\varphi^{-1}(X(1, n))m + a_1\varphi^{-1}(X(1, m))n = mX(a_1, n) + nX(a_1, m) \tag{3.58}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, m, n \in A_2$. Replacing n by na_4 in (3.58) and substracting the right multiplication of (3.58) by a_4, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &a_1\varphi^{-1}(X(1, na_4))m - a_1\varphi^{-1}(X(1, n))ma_4 \\ &= n[a_4, X(a_1, m)] + mX(a_1, na_4) - mX(a_1, n)a_4 \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_4 \in A_4$, $m, n \in A_2$. Let us set $m = n$ in (3.59) and using (3.57) together, we have

$$a_1\varphi^{-1}(X(1, ma_4))m = mX(a_1, ma_4) + m[a_4, X(a_1, m)] \tag{3.60}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_4 \in A_4, m \in A_2$. Right multipling by a_4 in (3.60) and using (3.57), we get $m[X(a_1, ma_4), a_4] = m[X(a_1, m), a_4]a_4$. That is,

$$m([X(a_1, ma_4), a_4] - [X(a_1, m), a_4]a_4) = 0$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_4 \in A_4$, $m \in A_2$. Let us write $P(m) = [X(a_1, ma_4), a_4] - [X(a_1, m), a_4]a_4$, where $P\colon A_2 \longrightarrow A_4$ is an R-linear mapping for each $a_1 \in A_1, a_4 \in$

A_4. Then $mP(m) = 0$. Linearizing the relation $mP(m) = 0$ leads to $mP(n) + nP(m) = 0$ for all $m, n \in A_2$. In view of Lemma 3.1, we obtain $P(m) = 0$. That is,

$$[X(a_1, ma_4), a_4] = [X(a_1, m), a_4]a_4$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1, a_4 \in A_4, m \in A_2$. Let us choose $b_4 \in A_4$ such that $[a_4, b_4] \neq 0$. By lemma 3.8 we know that $[X(a_1, ma_4), a_4] = [a_4, k_{12}(a_1, ma_4)] \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4)$. Commuting $[X(a_1, ma_4), a_4] = [X(a_1, m), a_4]a_4$ with b_4, we have $[X(a_1, m), a_4][a_4, b_4] = 0$. Thus Lemma 3.2 implies that $[X(a_1, m), a_4] = [a_4, k_{12}(a_1, m)] = 0$. This shows that $k_{12}(a_1, a_2) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4)$. In view of (3.17) we know that $[f_{24}(a_2, a_4), a_1] = 0$. That is, $f_{24}(a_2, a_4) \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$. □

For the proof of Theorem 3.4 we need to know the form of $f_{12}(a_1, a_2), k_{24}(a_2, a_4)$, $f_{13}(a_1, a_3), k_{34}(a_3, a_4)$ and $f_{14}(a_1, a_4)$.

Lemma 3.14. ([75, Lemma 3.10]) $f_{12}(a_1, a_2) = \alpha(a_2)a_1 + \varphi^{-1}(k_{12}(a_1, a_2))$ *and* $k_{24}(a_2, a_4) = \varphi(\alpha(a_2))a_4 + \varphi(f_{24}(a_2, a_4))$, *where* $\alpha(a_3) = f_{12}(1, a_2) - \varphi^{-1}(k_{12}(1, a_2))$.

Lemma 3.15. ([75, Lemma 3.11]) $f_{13}(a_1, a_3) = \tau(a_3)a_1 + \varphi^{-1}(k_{13}(a_1, a_3))$ *and* $k_{34}(a_3, a_4) = \varphi(\tau(a_3))a_4 + \varphi(f_{34}(a_3, a_4))$, *where* $\tau(a_3) = f_{13}(1, a_3) - \varphi^{-1}(k_{13}(1, a_3))$.

Lemma 3.16. ([75, Lemma 3.12]) *There exist linear mapping* $\gamma\colon A_4 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ *and bilinear mapping* $\delta\colon A_1 \times A_4 \to \mathcal{Z}(A_1)$ *such that* $f_{14}(a_1, a_4) = \gamma(a_4)a_1 + \delta(a_1, a_4)$, *for all* $a_1 \in A_1, a_4 \in A_4$.

Lemma 3.17. ([75, Lemma 3.13]) $k_{14}(a_1, a_4) = \gamma'(a_1)a_4 + \varphi(\delta(a_1, a_4))$, *where* $\gamma'(a_1) = k_{14}(a_1, 1') - \varphi(\delta(a_1, 1'))$ *for all* $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_4 \in A_4$.

Lemma 3.18. $g_{24}(a_2, a_4) = a_2(\varepsilon' a_4 + \varphi(\gamma(a_4)))$ *and* $g_{12}(a_1, a_2) = (\varepsilon a_1 + \varphi^{-1}(\gamma'(a_1)))a_2$, *where* $\varepsilon' = \kappa - \gamma'(1)$, $\kappa = \varphi(f_{11}(1, 1)) - k_{11}(1, 1)$, $\varepsilon = \theta - \gamma(1)$, $\theta = \varphi^{-1}(k_{11}(1, 1)) - f_{44}(1, 1)$.

Proof. By (3.34) we know that $g_{12}(1, a_2) = f_{11}(1, 1)a_2 - a_2k_{11}(1, 1)$ for all $a_2 \in A_2$. Let us set $a_1 = 1$ in (3.46). We get

$$g_{24}(a_2, a_4) = a_2(\kappa a_4 + \varphi(f_{14}(1, a_4)) - k_{14}(1, a_4))$$

for all $a_2 \in A_2$, $a_4 \in A_4$, where $\kappa = \varphi(f_{11}(1, 1)) - k_{11}(1, 1)$. Similarly, using (3.36) and (3.46) we have

$$g_{12}(a_1, a_2) = (\theta a_1 + \varphi^{-1}(k_{14}(a_1, 1')) - f_{14}(a_1, 1'))a_2$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_2 \in A_2$, where $\theta = \varphi^{-1}(k_{44}(1', 1')) - f_{44}(1', 1')$. By the Lemma 3.16 and Lemma 3.17 one can conclude the following relations

$$g_{24}(a_2, a_4) = a_2(\varepsilon' a_4 + \varphi(\gamma(a_4))), \quad g_{12}(a_1, a_2) = (\varepsilon a_1 + \varphi^{-1}(\gamma'(a_1)))a_2,$$

where $\varepsilon = \theta - \gamma(1)$, and $\varepsilon' = \kappa - {\gamma'}^{-1}(1)$. □

Lemma 3.19. $h_{13}(a_1, a_3) = a_3\varepsilon a_1 + \gamma'(a_1)a_3$ *and* $h_{34}(a_3, a_4) = (\varepsilon' a_4 + \varphi(\gamma(a_4)))a_3$, *where* $\varepsilon' = \kappa - \gamma'(1)$, $\varepsilon = \theta - \gamma(1)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.18. □

Proof of Theorem 3.4. In view of Lemma 3.18 and Lemma 3.19, we obtain

$$g_{24}(a_2, a_4)a_3 = a_2h_{34}(a_3, a_4).$$

It follows from the identity (3.18) that

$$[k_{23}(a_2, a_3), a_4] = a_3g_{24}(a_2, a_4) - h_{34}(a_3, a_4)a_2.$$

Combining Lemma 3.18 with Lemma 3.19 yields

$$k_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon' a_3 a_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4). \tag{3.65}$$

By (3.19) and those similar computational procedures, we arrive at

$$f_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon a_2 a_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1). \tag{3.66}$$

Taking $a_1 = 1$ and $a_4 = 1'$ into (3.46) and using Lemmas 3.16-3.18, we conclude that $\varepsilon a_2 = a_2\varepsilon'$ for all $a_2 \in A_2$. Note that $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1) = \pi_B(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$ and $\varepsilon' \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4) = \pi_B(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$. Therefore $\varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon' \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$.

By (3.34) and Lemma 3.18 we have

$$(f_{11}(a_1, a_1) - \varepsilon a_1^2 - \varphi^{-1}(\gamma'(a_1))a_1 - \varphi^{-1}(k_{11}(a_1, a_1)))a_2 = 0$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1$, $a_2 \in A_2$. Since $A_2 = M$ is faithful as a left A-module,

$$f_{11}(a_1, a_1) = \varepsilon a_1^2 + \varphi^{-1}(\gamma'(a_1))a_1 + \varphi^{-1}(k_{11}(a_1, a_1)) \tag{3.67}$$

for all $a_1 \in A_1$. Similarly,

$$k_{44}(a_4, a_4) = \varepsilon' a_1^2 + \varphi(\gamma(a_4))a_4 + \varphi(f_{44}(a_4, a_4)) \tag{3.68}$$

for all $a_4 \in A_4$.

Finally, let us set $z = \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon'$ and define the mapping $\mu\colon \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \longmapsto$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \varphi^{-1}(\gamma'(a_1)) + \gamma(a_4) + \alpha(a_2) + \tau(a_3) & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma^{'}(a_1) + \varphi(\gamma(a_4) + \alpha(a_2) + \tau(a_3)) \end{bmatrix}.$$

According to all conclusions derived above, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(x) :&= \mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x) - zx^2 - \mu(x)x \\ &\equiv \begin{bmatrix} f_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon a_2 a_3 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon' a_3 a_2 \end{bmatrix} \ (\mathrm{mod}\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})), \end{aligned}$$

where $x = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{G}$. By (3.65) and (3.66) and the fact $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is centralizing, it follows that

$$\left[\begin{bmatrix} f_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon a_2 a_3 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon' a_3 a_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}\right] = 0.$$

This implies that $f_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon a_2 a_3 \in \mathcal{Z}(A_1) = \pi_A(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$ and $k_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon' a_3 a_2 \in \mathcal{Z}(A_4) = \pi_B(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}))$. Moreover, it shows that

$$(f_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon a_2 a_3)a_2 = a_2(k_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon' a_3 a_2)$$

and

$$a_3(f_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon a_2 a_3) = (k_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon' a_3 a_2)a_3$$

for all $a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3$. For convenience, let us write $\mathfrak{f}(a_2, a_3) = f_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon a_2 a_3$, and $\mathfrak{k}(a_2, a_3) = k_{23}(a_2, a_3) - \varepsilon' a_3 a_2$. Thus

$$(\mathfrak{f}(a_2, a_3) - \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{k}(a_2, a_3)))a_2 = 0$$

for all $a_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3$. A linearization of the last relation gives

$$(\mathfrak{f}(a_2, a_3) - \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{k}(a_2, a_3)))b_2 + (\mathfrak{f}(b_2, a_3) - \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{k}(b_2, a_3)))a_2 = 0$$

for all $a_2, b_2 \in A_2, a_3 \in A_3$. Note that the hypothesis $A_2 = M$ is loyal as an (A, B)-bimodule. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\mathfrak{f}(a_2, a_3) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{k}(a_2, a_3))$ for all $a_2 \in$

$A_2, a_3 \in A_3$. Hence ν maps $\mathcal{G}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$. Therefore $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x) = zx^2+\mu(x)x+\nu(x)$. This implies that the centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)$ is proper. In the other words, centralizing trace of every bilinear mapping in $\mathcal{G}$ is commuting. The proof of Theorem 3.4 is completed.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.4 we get

Corollary 3.20. ([75, Theorem 3.4]) *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a 2-torsionfree generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathfrak{q}\colon \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping. If*

(1) *every commuting linear mapping on A or B is proper;*
(2) *$\pi_A(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})) = \mathcal{Z}(A) \neq A$ and $\pi_B(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})) = \mathcal{Z}(B) \neq B$;*
(3) *M is loyal,*

then every commuting trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ is proper.

Following the proof of Theorem 4.3 and making a slight modification one can show that

Proposition 3.21. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a 2-torsionfree generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$, where B is a noncommutative algebra over $\mathcal{R}$ and both $\mathcal{G}$ and B are central over $\mathcal{R}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}\colon \mathcal{G}\times\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping. If*

(1) *every commuting linear mapping of B is proper,*
(2) *for any $r \in \mathcal{R}$ and $m \in M$, $rm = 0$ implies that $r = 0$ or $m = 0$,*
(3) *there exist $m_0 \in M$ and $b_0 \in B$ such that m_0b_0 and m_0 are $\mathcal{R}$-linearly independent,*

then every centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}\colon \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ is proper.

In particular,we also have

Corollary 3.22. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a 2-torsionfree commutative domain and $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{R})$ be the full matrix algebra over $\mathcal{R}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}\colon \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{R})$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping. Then every centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}\colon \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{R}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{R})$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ is proper.*

Corollary 3.23. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a 2-torsionfree commutative domain, V be an $\mathcal{R}$-linear space and $B(\mathcal{R}, V, \gamma)$ be the inflated algebra of $\mathcal{R}$ along V. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}\colon B(\mathcal{R}, V, \gamma)$ $\times B(\mathcal{R}, V, \gamma) \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{R}, V, \gamma)$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping. Then every centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}\colon B(\mathcal{R}, V, \gamma) \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{R}, V, \gamma)$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ is proper.*

Let us see the centralizing trace of bilinear mappings of several unital algebras with nontrivial idempotents.

Corollary 3.24. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a 2-torsionfree unital prime algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ contains a nontrivial idempotent e and that $f = 1 - e$. If $e\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})e = \mathcal{Z}(e\mathcal{A}e) \neq e\mathcal{A}e$ and $f\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{A})f = \mathcal{Z}(f\mathcal{A}f) \neq f\mathcal{A}f$, then every centralizing trace of an arbitrary bilinear mappings on $\mathcal{A}$ is proper.*

Proof. Let us write $\mathcal{A}$ as a natural generalized matrix algebra $\begin{bmatrix} eAe & eAf \\ fAe & fAf \end{bmatrix}$. It is clear that $e\mathcal{A}e$ and $f\mathcal{A}f$ are prime algebras. By [19, Theorem 3.2] it follows that each commuting additive mapping on $e\mathcal{A}e$ and $f\mathcal{A}f$ is proper. On the other hand, if $(eae)e\mathcal{A}f(fbf) = 0$ holds for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, then the primeness of $\mathcal{A}$ implies that

$eae = 0$ or $fbf = 0$. This shows $e\mathcal{A}f$ is a loyal $(e\mathcal{A}e, f\mathcal{A}f)$-bimodule. Applying Theorem 3.4 yields that each centralizing trace of an arbitrary bilinear mappings on $\mathcal{A}$ is proper. □

Corollary 3.25. *Let X be a Banach space over the real or complex field $\mathbb{F}$, $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on X. Then every ccentralizing trace of an arbitrary bilinear mapping on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is proper.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is a centrally closed prime algebra. If X is infinite dimensional, the result follows from Corollary 3.24. If X is of dimension n, then $\mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{F})$. In this case the result follows from Corollary 3.22. □

4. Lie Triple Isomorphisms on Generalized Matrix Algebras

In this section we shall use the main result in Section 3 (Theorem 3.4) to describe the form of an arbitrary Lie triple isomorphism of a certain class of generalized matrix algebras (Theorem 4.4). As applications of Theorem 4.4, we characterize Lie isomorphisms of certain generalized matrix algebras. The involved algebras include upper triangular matrix algebras, nest algebras, full matrix algebras, inflated algebras, prime algebras with nontrivial idempotents.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a 2-torsionfree generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ does not contain nonzero central Jordan ideals.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a central Jordan ideal of $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$. Suppose $\alpha \oplus \varphi(\alpha) \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi(\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha m + m\varphi(\alpha) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $m \in \mathcal{G}$. Thus yields $2\alpha M = 0$ and hence $\alpha = 0 = \alpha \oplus \varphi(\alpha)$. □

Lemma 4.2. [75, Lemma 4.1] *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a 2-torsionfree generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the polynomial identity $[[x^2, y], [x, y]]$ if and only if both A and B are commutative.*

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ and $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}'(A', M', N', B')$ be two generalized matrix algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ with $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{R}$ and let $\mathfrak{l} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be a Lie triple isomorphism. If*

1. *each centralizing trace of arbitrary bilinear mapping on $\mathcal{G}'$ is proper,*
2. *at least one of A, B and at least one of A', B' are noncommutative,*
3. *M' is loyal,*

then $\mathfrak{l} = \pm\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{m} \colon \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ is a Jordan homomorphism, $\mathfrak{m}$ is one-to-one, and $\mathfrak{n} \colon \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ is a linear mapping vanishing on each second commutator. Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}'$ is central over $\mathcal{R}$, then $\mathfrak{m}$ is onto.

Proof. For arbitrary $x, z \in \mathcal{G}$, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{l}$ satisfies $[[\mathfrak{l}(x^2), \mathfrak{l}(x)], \mathfrak{l}(z)] = \mathfrak{l}([[x^2, x], z]) = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{l}$ is onto, $[\mathfrak{l}(x^2), \mathfrak{l}(x)] \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Replacing x by $\mathfrak{l}^{-1}(y)$, we get $[\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{l}^{-1}(y)^2), y] \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ for all $y \in \mathcal{G}'$. This implies that the mapping $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}(y) = \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{l}^{-1}(y)^2)$ is centralizing. Since $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is also a trace of the bilinear mapping $\mathfrak{q} \colon \mathcal{G}' \times \mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$, $\mathfrak{q}(y, z) = \mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{l}^{-1}(y)\mathfrak{l}^{-1}(z))$, by the hypothesis (1) there exist $\lambda \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$, a linear mapping $\mu_1 : \mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$, and a trace $\nu_1 : \mathcal{G}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ of a bilinear mapping such that

$$\mathfrak{l}(\mathfrak{l}^{-1}(y)^2) = \lambda y^2 + \mu_1(y)y + \nu_1(y) \tag{4.1}$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{G}'$. Let us write $\mu = \mu_1 \mathfrak{l}$ and $\nu = \nu_1 \mathfrak{l}$. Then μ and ν are mappings of $\mathcal{G}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ and μ is linear. Hence (4.1) can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{l}(x^2) = \lambda \mathfrak{l}(x)^2 + \mu(x)\mathfrak{l}(x) + \nu(x) \tag{4.2}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. We conclude that $\lambda \neq 0$. Otherwise, we have $\mathfrak{l}(x^2) - \mu(x)\mathfrak{l}(x) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ by (4.2) and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{l}([[x^2, y], [x, y]]) &= [[\mathfrak{l}(x^2), \mathfrak{l}(y)], \mathfrak{l}([x, y])] \\
&= [[\mu(x)\mathfrak{l}(x), \mathfrak{l}(y)], \mathfrak{l}([x, y])] \\
&= \mu(x)[[\mathfrak{l}(x), \mathfrak{l}(y)], \mathfrak{l}([x, y])] \\
&= \mu(x)\mathfrak{l}([[x, y], [x, y]]) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. Consequently, $[[x^2, y], [x, y]] = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. According to our assumption this contradicts with ([13, Lemma 2.7]). Thus $\lambda \neq 0$.

Now we define a linear mapping $\mathfrak{m} \colon \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ by

$$\mathfrak{m}(x) = \lambda \mathfrak{l}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(x) \tag{4.3}$$

for the $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Of course, $\mathfrak{m}$ is a linear mapping. Our goal is to show that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a Jordan homomorphism. In view of (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\mathfrak{m}(x^2) = \lambda \mathfrak{l}(x^2) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(x) = \lambda^2 \mathfrak{l}(x)^2 + \lambda\mu(x)\mathfrak{l}(x) + \lambda\nu(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(x^2),$$

while

$$\mathfrak{m}(x)^2 = (\lambda \mathfrak{l}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\mu(x))^2 = \lambda^2 \mathfrak{l}(x)^2 + \lambda\mu(x)\mathfrak{l}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\mu(x)^2.$$

Comparing the above two identities we get

$$\mathfrak{m}(x^2) - \mathfrak{m}(x)^2 \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}') \tag{4.4}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Linearizing (4.4) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m}(x \circ y) - \mathfrak{m}(x) \circ \mathfrak{m}(y) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. Define the mapping $\varepsilon : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ by

$$\varepsilon(x, y) = \mathfrak{m}(x \circ y) - \mathfrak{m}(x) \circ \mathfrak{m}(y). \tag{4.5}$$

Clearly, ε is a symmetric bilinear mapping. Of course, $\mathfrak{m}$ is a Jordan homomorphism if and only if $\varepsilon(x, y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. For any $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$, let us put $W = \mathfrak{m}(x \circ (x \circ y))$. By (4.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W &= \mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(x \circ y) + \mathfrak{m}(x \circ y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(x, x \circ y) \\
&= \mathfrak{m}(x)\{\mathfrak{m}(x) \circ \mathfrak{m}(y) + \varepsilon(x, y)\} + [\mathfrak{m}(x) \circ \mathfrak{m}(y) + \varepsilon(x, y)]\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(x, x \circ y) \\
&= \mathfrak{m}(x)^2\mathfrak{m}(y) + 2\mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x)^2 + 2\varepsilon(x, y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(x, x \circ y).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} W &= 2\mathfrak{m}(xyx) + \mathfrak{m}(x^2 \circ y) \\ &= 2\mathfrak{m}(xyx) + \mathfrak{m}(x^2) \circ \mathfrak{m}(y) + \varepsilon(x^2, y) \\ &= 2\mathfrak{m}(xyx) + [\mathfrak{m}(x^2) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x,x)]\mathfrak{m}(y) \\ &\quad + \mathfrak{m}(y)[\mathfrak{m}(x^2) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x,x)] + \varepsilon(x^2, y) \\ &= 2\mathfrak{m}(xyx) + \mathfrak{m}(x)^2\mathfrak{m}(y) + \mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x)^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon(x,x)\mathfrak{m}(y) + \varepsilon(x^2, y). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two relations gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}(xyx) = \mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(x,y)\mathfrak{m}(x) - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x,x)\mathfrak{m}(y) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x, x\circ y)\mathfrak{m}(y) - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x^2, y). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

By completing linearization of (4.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}(xyz + zyx) = \mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(z) + \mathfrak{m}(z)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(x,y)\mathfrak{m}(z) \\ + \varepsilon(z,y)\mathfrak{m}(x) - \varepsilon(x,z)\mathfrak{m}(y) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x, z\circ y) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(z, x\circ y) - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x\circ z, y). \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Let us consider $U = \mathfrak{m}(xyx^2 + x^2yx)$. By (4.7) we know that

$$\begin{aligned} U = \mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x^2) + \mathfrak{m}(x^2)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(x,y)\mathfrak{m}(x^2) \\ + \varepsilon(x^2,y)\mathfrak{m}(x) - \varepsilon(x,x^2)\mathfrak{m}(y) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x, x^2\circ y) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x^2, x\circ y) - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x^3, y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}(x^2) = \mathfrak{m}(x)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x,x)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} U = \mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x)^2 + \mathfrak{m}(x)^2\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(x,x)\mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(y) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x,x)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(x,y)\mathfrak{m}(x)^2 + \varepsilon(x^2,y)\mathfrak{m}(x) \\ - \varepsilon(x,x^2)\mathfrak{m}(y) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x,y)\varepsilon(x,x) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x, x^2\circ y) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x^2, x\circ y) - \varepsilon(x^3, y). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (4.5) and (4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \mathfrak{m}((xyx)\circ x) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}(xyx)\circ\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(xyx, x) \\ &= \mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x)^2 + \mathfrak{m}(x)^2\mathfrak{m}(y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + 2\varepsilon(x,y)\mathfrak{m}(x)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon(x,x)(\mathfrak{m}(y)\circ\mathfrak{m}(x)) + \varepsilon(x, x\circ y)\mathfrak{m}(x) - \varepsilon(x^2,y)\mathfrak{m}(x) + \varepsilon(xyx, x). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the above two relations yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon(x,x)\mathfrak{m}(x)\circ\mathfrak{m}(y)-\varepsilon(x,y)\mathfrak{m}(x)^2-\varepsilon(x,x^2)\mathfrak{m}(y)\\&\quad+(2\varepsilon(x^2,y)-\varepsilon(x,x\circ y))\mathfrak{m}(x)\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

for all $x,y\in\mathcal{G}$. In particular, if $x=y$, we obtain

$$\varepsilon(x,x)\mathfrak{m}(x)^2-\varepsilon(x,x^2)\mathfrak{m}(x)\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')\tag{4.9}$$

for all $x\in\mathcal{G}$. Therefore

$$\varepsilon(x,x)[[\mathfrak{m}(x)^2,u],[\mathfrak{m}(x),u]]=0$$

for all $x\in\mathcal{G},u\in\mathcal{G}'$, which can be in view of (4.3) rewritten as

$$\lambda^3\varepsilon(x,x)[[\mathfrak{l}(x)^2,u],[\mathfrak{l}(x),u]]=0.$$

We may assume that A' is noncommutative. Pick $a_1,a_2\in A'$ such that $a_1[a_1,a_2]a_1\neq 0$ (see the proof of [13, Lemma 2.7]). Setting

$$\mathfrak{l}(x_0)=\begin{bmatrix}a_1&0\\&0\end{bmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad u_0=\begin{bmatrix}a_2&m\\&0\end{bmatrix}$$

for some $x_0\in\mathcal{G}$ and an arbitrary $m\in M'$ in the relation $\lambda^3\varepsilon(x,x)[[\mathfrak{l}(x)^2,u],[\mathfrak{l}(x),u]]=0$, we arrive at

$$\pi_{A'}(\lambda^3\varepsilon(x_0,x_0))a_1[a_1,a_2]a_1m=0$$

for all $m\in M'$. By the loyality of M' it follows that $\pi_{A'}(\lambda^3\varepsilon(x_0,x_0))a_1[a_1,a_2]a_1=0$. Hence $\pi_{A'}(\lambda^3\varepsilon(x_0,x_0))=0$ by Lemma 3.2. This shows that $\lambda^3\varepsilon(x_0,x_0)=0$. Since $\lambda\neq 0$, $\varepsilon(x_0,x_0)=0$ by Lemma 3.3. Taking $\varepsilon(x_0,x_0)=0$ into (4.9) and then making the commutator with u_0 we can obtain $\varepsilon(x_0,x_0^2)=0$. From (4.8) we get

$$\varepsilon(x_0,y)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)^2+[-2\varepsilon(x_0^2,y)+\varepsilon(x_0,x_0\circ y)]\mathfrak{m}(x_0)\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')\tag{4.10}$$

for all $y\in\mathcal{G}$. Let us commute the above relation with u_0 and then with $[\mathfrak{m}(x_0),u_0]$ in order. We will eventually observe that $\varepsilon(x_0,y)=0$ for all $y\in\mathcal{G}$. Then the equation (4.10) shows that $2\varepsilon(x_0^2,y)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{T}')$ for all $y\in\mathcal{G}$. Therefore $\varepsilon(x_0^2,y)[\mathfrak{m}(x_0),u_0]=0$ and hence $\varepsilon(x_0^2,y)=0$ for all $y\in\mathcal{G}$.

Next, we assert that $\varepsilon(x,y)=0$. Substituting x_0+y for x by in (4.9) and using the fact $\varepsilon(x_0,y)=0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon(y,y)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)^2+\varepsilon(y,y)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)\circ\mathfrak{m}(y)-\varepsilon(y,(x_0+y)^2)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)\\&-\varepsilon(y,x_0\circ y)\mathfrak{m}(y)\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}').\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, replacing x by $-x_0+y$ in (4.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\varepsilon(y,y)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)^2-\varepsilon(y,y)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)\circ\mathfrak{m}(y)+\varepsilon(y,(x_0-y)^2)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)\\&+\varepsilon(y,x_0\circ y)\mathfrak{m}(y)\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}').\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two relations it follows that

$$\varepsilon(y,y)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)^2-\varepsilon(y,x_0\circ y)\mathfrak{m}(x_0)\in\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}').$$

Commuting with u_0 and then with $[\mathfrak{m}(x_0),u_0]$, in view of (4.3) the above relation becomes

$$\varepsilon(y,y)[[\mathfrak{l}(x_0)^2,u_0],[\mathfrak{l}(x_0),u_0]]=0.\tag{4.11}$$

Furthermore, $\varepsilon(y,y)=0$ for all $y\in\mathcal{G}$. Hence $\varepsilon=0$ by the symmetry of ε. This shows that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a Jordan homomorphism.

We claim that $\lambda = \pm 1$. By (4.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda^2\mathfrak{m}([[x,y],z]) &= \lambda^3\mathfrak{l}([[x,y],z]) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\mu([[x,y],z]) \\ &= [[\mathfrak{m}(x),\mathfrak{m}(y)],\mathfrak{m}(z)] + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2\mu([[x,y],z])\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}$. Moreover, we get

$$\lambda^2\mathfrak{m}([[x,y],z]) - [[\mathfrak{m}(x),\mathfrak{m}(y)],\mathfrak{m}(z)] \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}') \tag{4.12}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}$. Considering (4.12) and using the facts $\mathfrak{m}(x \circ y) = \mathfrak{m}(x) \circ \mathfrak{m}(y)$ and $[[x,y],z] = x \circ (y \circ z) - y \circ (x \circ z)$, we conclude that

$$(\lambda^2 - 1)[[\mathfrak{m}(x),\mathfrak{m}(y)],\mathfrak{m}(z)] \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}').$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}$. By (4.3) we know that $\lambda^3(\lambda^2-1)\mathfrak{l}([[x,y],z]) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$. Since x, y, z are arbitrary elements in $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ is bijective, we eventually obtain $\lambda^3(\lambda^2 - 1) = 0$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, we get $\lambda = \pm 1$.

Let us put $\mathfrak{n}(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu(x)$. When $\lambda = 1$, then $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$. It is easy to verify that $\mathfrak{n}([[x,y],z]) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a Jordan homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ into $\mathcal{G}'$ and hence is a Lie triple homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ into $\mathcal{G}'$. When $\lambda = -1$, then $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{m}$ is a Lie triple homomorphism from $\mathcal{G}$ into $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$. Therefore $\mathfrak{n}([[x,y],z]) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{G}$.

We have to prove that $\mathfrak{m}$ is one-to-one. Suppose that $\mathfrak{m}(w) = 0$ for some $w \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $\mathfrak{l}(w) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ and hence $w \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$. This implies that $\ker(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$. That is, $\ker(\mathfrak{m})$ is a Jordan ideal of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$. However, by Lemma 4.1 it follows that $\ker(\mathfrak{m}) = 0$.

It remains to prove that $\mathfrak{m}$ is onto in case $\mathcal{G}'$ is central over $\mathcal{R}$. Let us first show that $\mathfrak{m}(1) = 1'$. Since $\mathfrak{l}$ is a Lie triple isomorphism, we have $\mathfrak{l}(1) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ and hence $\mathfrak{m}(1) = \mathfrak{l}(1) - \mathfrak{n}(1) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$. Note that $\mathfrak{m}$ is a Jordan homomorphism. We see that $2\mathfrak{m}(x) = \mathfrak{m}(x \circ 1) = 2\mathfrak{m}(x)\mathfrak{m}(1)$. Since $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{R}$, $(\mathfrak{m}(1) - 1')\mathfrak{m}(x) = 0$, which can be rewritten as $(\mathfrak{m}(1) - 1')\mathfrak{l}(x) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$. Then $(\mathfrak{m}(1) - 1')[\mathfrak{l}(x), s] = 0$ for all $s \in \mathcal{G}'$. Therefore $(\mathfrak{m}(1) - 1')[\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{G}'] = 0$. Consequently, $\pi_{A'}(\mathfrak{m}(1) - 1')[A', A'] = 0$. This implies that $\pi_{A'}(\mathfrak{m}(1) - 1') = 0$ and so $\mathfrak{m}(1) = 1'$. Obviously, we may write $\mathfrak{n}(x) = f(x)1'$ for some linear mapping $f : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}$ is $\mathcal{R}$-linear, we obtain that $\mathfrak{l}(x) = \pm\mathfrak{m}(x) + f(x)1' = \mathfrak{m}(\pm x + f(x)1)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{G}$. Consequently $\mathfrak{m}$ is onto, since $\mathfrak{l}$ is bijective. The proof of the theorem is thus completed. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ and $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}'(A', M', N', B')$ be two generalized matrix algebras over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ with $\frac{1}{2} \in \mathcal{R}$. Let $\theta : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be a Lie triple isomorphism. If*

1. *each commuting linear mapping on A' or B' is proper,*
2. *$\pi_{A'}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')) = \mathcal{Z}(A') \neq A'$ and $\pi_{B'}(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')) = \mathcal{Z}(B') \neq B'$,*
3. *either A or B is noncommutative,*
4. *M' is loyal,*

then $\theta = \pm\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{m} : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ is Jordan homomorphism, $\mathfrak{m}$ is one-to-one,and $\mathfrak{n} : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G}')$ is a linear mapping sending second commutators to zero. Moreover, if $\mathcal{G}'$ is central over $\mathcal{R}$, then $\mathfrak{m}$ is surjective.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.4 and Proposition4.3 □

5. Topic for Future Research

Although the main aim of this paper is to describe the form of Lie triple isomorphisms on generalized matrix algebras, the investgation of various additive mappings (associative-type, Jordan-type or Lie-type) on generalized matrix algebras also have a great interest and should be further paid much attention. The study of additive mappings on generalized matrix algebras is shedding light on the investigation of functional identities in the setting of such kind of algebras. In the light of the motivation and contents of this article, we will propose several topics with high potential and with merit for future research in this field.

The theory of functional identitieswas initiated by Brešar at the beginning of 90's in last century and it was greatly developed by Beidar, Brešar, Chebotar, and Martindale. We refer the reader to the monograph [21] for a full account on the theory of functional identities. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an associative ring. Let F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 be mappings from $\mathcal{A}$ into itself such that

$$F_1(x)y + F_2(y)x + xG_2(y) + yG_1(x) = 0 \tag{5.1}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. This is a basic functional identity, which was one of the first functional identities studied in prime algebras. The mappings F_1, F_2, G_1 and G_2 are looked on as unknowns and the main prupose is to describe the form of these mappings. Functional identity (5.1) is also closely related to commuting mappings, which is due to the fact each commuting additive mapping F of $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies the relation

$$F(x)y + F(y)x - xF(y) - yF(x) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. This relation is just one special case of (5.1). Centralizing mappings and commuting mappings can be considered as the most basic and important examples of functional identities. However, the general theory of functional identities, which was developed in [21], cannot be applied in the context of triangular rings, since these rings are not d-free. Nevertheless, Beidar, Brešar and Chebotar investigated certain functional identities on upper triangular matrix algebras [5]. Moreover, Cheung described the form of commuting linear maps for a certain class of triangular algebras [31]. Later, several problems on certain types of mappings on triangular rings and algebras have been studied, where some special examples of functional identities appear. Zhang and his students [77] studied the functional identity (5.1) in the context of nest algebras. In two recent articles [39, 40], Eremita considered functional identity (5.1) in triangular algebras. He managed to describe the form of additive mappings $F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 \colon \mathcal{T} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ satisfying (5.1) if a triangular ring $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies certain conditions. Moreover, the notion of the maximal left ring of quotients, which plays an important role in the study of functional identities on (semi-)prime rings, is used to characterize those additive mappings F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 [40]. It is predictable that Eremita's approach, which is based on the notion of the maximal left ring of quotients, enable us to generalize and unify a number of known results regarding mappings of triangular algebras and generalized matrix algebras.

The functional identities in triangular algebras and full matrix algebras were already studied in [5, 23, 39, 40]. One would expect that the next step is to investigate functional identities of generalized matrix algebras. The notion of generalized matrix algebras efficiently unifies triangular algebras and full matrix algebras together.

The eventual goal of our systematic work is to deal with all questions related to additive (or multiplicative) mappings of triangular algebras and full matrix algebras under a unified frame, which is the desirable generalized matrix algebras frame.

Question 5.1. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$ and let F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 be mappings from $\mathcal{G}$ into itself such that

$$F_1(x)y + F_2(y)x + xG_2(y) + yG_1(x) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. Describe the forms of F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 satisfying the above condition.

Although people embark on studying functional identities in triangular algebras and full matrix algebras, the functional identities with additional structure has not been treated yet. For instance, the functional identities with automorphisms and derivations in generalized matrix algebras are worthy to be considered further.

Proposition 5.2. ([52, Proposition 4.2]) *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. An $\mathcal{R}$-linear mapping Θ is a derivation of $\mathcal{G}$ if and only if Θ has the form*

$$\Theta\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_1(a) - mn_0 - m_0n & am_0 - m_0b + \tau_2(m) \\ n_0a - bn_0 + \nu_3(n) & n_0m + nm_0 + \mu_4(b) \end{bmatrix}$$

where $m_0 \in M, n_0 \in N$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_1 : A \longrightarrow A, \quad \tau_2 : M \longrightarrow M, \quad \tau_3 : N \longrightarrow M, \\ &\nu_2 : M \longrightarrow N, \quad \nu_3 : N \longrightarrow N, \quad \mu_4 : B \longrightarrow B \end{aligned}$$

are all $\mathcal{R}$-linear mappings satisfying the following conditions:

(1) *δ_1 is a derivation of A with $\delta_1(mn) = \tau_2(m)n + m\nu_3(n)$;*
(2) *μ_4 is a derivation of B with $\mu_4(nm) = n\tau_2(m) + \nu_3(n)m$;*
(3) *$\tau_2(am) = a\tau_2(m) + \delta_1(a)m$ and $\tau_2(mb) = \tau_2(m)b + m\mu_4(b)$;*
(4) *$\nu_3(na) = \nu_3(n)a + n\delta_1(a)$ and $\nu_3(bn) = b\nu_3(n) + \mu_4(b)n$.*

In view of Proposition 5.2 we have

Question 5.3. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. Let F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 be mappings from $\mathcal{G}$ into itself and $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ be derivations from $\mathcal{G}$ into itself such that

$$F_1(x)\Theta_2(y) + F_2(y)\Theta_1(x) + \Delta_1(x)G_2(y) + \Delta_2(y)G_1(x) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. What can we say about the forms of F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 and those of $\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ satisfying the above condition ?

Let us next consider the functional identities with automorphisms in generalized matrix algebras.

Proposition 5.4. ([16, Theorem 3.6]) *Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ and $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G}'(A', M', N', B')$ be two generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. Suppose that A' and B' have only trivial idempotents, and at least one of M' and N' is nonzero. Let $\Omega : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ be an $\mathcal{R}$-linear mapping. Then Ω is an isomorphism if and only if Ω has one of the following forms:*

(1) $\Omega\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma(a) & \gamma(a)m_0' - m_0'\delta(b) + \mu(m) \\ n_0'\gamma(a) - \delta(b)n_0' + \nu(n) & \delta(b) \end{bmatrix},$

where $\gamma\colon A \longrightarrow A'$ *and* $\delta\colon B \longrightarrow B'$ *are two algebraic isomorphisms,* $\mu\colon M \longrightarrow M'$ *is a* (γ,δ)*-bimodule isomorphism,* $\nu\colon N \longrightarrow N'$ *is a* (δ,γ)*-bimodule isomorphism,* $m'_0 \in M'$ *and* $n'_0 \in N'$ *are two fixed elements.*

$$(2)\ \ \Omega\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & m \\ n & b \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma(b) & m'_*\rho(a) - \sigma(b)m'_* + \tau(n) \\ \rho(a)n'_* - n'_*\sigma(b) + \zeta(m) & \rho(a) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\rho\colon A \longrightarrow B'$ *and* $\sigma\colon B \longrightarrow A'$ *are two algebraic isomorphisms,* $\zeta\colon M \longrightarrow N'$ *is a* (ρ,σ)*-bimodule isomorphism,* $\tau\colon N \longrightarrow M'$ *is a* (σ,ρ)*-bimodule isomorphism,* $m'_* \in M'$ *and* $n'_* \in N'$ *are two fixed elements.*

Basing on Proposition 5.4 we ask

Question 5.5. Let $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A, M, N, B)$ be a generalized matrix algebra over a commutative ring $\mathcal{R}$. Suppose that A and B have only trivial idempotents. Let F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 be mappings from $\mathcal{G}$ into itself and $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ be automorphisms from $\mathcal{G}$ into itself such that

$$F_1(x)\Omega_2(y) + F_2(y)\Omega_1(x) + \Gamma_1(x)G_2(y) + \Gamma_2(y)G_1(x) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}$. How about are the forms of F_1, F_2, G_1, G_2 and those of $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$ satisfying the above condition ?

In addition, some researchers extend the result about Lie isomorphisms between nest algebras on Hilbert spaces by Marcoux and Sourour [59] to the Banach space case, see [66, 68, 71]. We would liek to mention one recent remarkable result obtained by Qi, Hou and Deng.

Theorem 5.6. ([68, Theorem 1.1]) *Let* $\mathcal{N}$ *and* $\mathcal{M}$ *be nests on Banach spaces* X *and* Y *over the (real or complex) field* $\mathbb{F}$ *and let* $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ *and* $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{M}$ *be the associated nest algebras, respectively. Then a mapping* $\Phi\colon \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{M}$ *is a Lie ring isomorphism, that is,* Φ *is additive, bijective and satisfies* $\Phi([A, B]) = [\Phi(A), \Phi(B)]$ *for all* $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$*, if and only if* Φ *has the form* $\Phi(A) = \Psi(A) + h(A)I$ *for all* $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$*, where* Ψ *is a ring isomorphism or the negative of a ring anti-isomorphism between the nest algebras and* h *is an additive functional satisfying* $h([A, B]) = 0$ *for all* $A, B \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$*.*

In light of Theorem 5.6, it is deserved to pay much more attention to centralizing traces and Lie triple isomorphisms of nest algebras on Banach spaces.

Question 5.7. Let X be a Banach space, $\mathcal{N}$ be a nest of X and $\mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{N})$ be the nest algebra associated with $\mathcal{N}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}\colon \mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{N}) \times \mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{N})$ is an $\mathcal{R}$-bilinear mapping. Then every centralizing trace $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathfrak{q}} : \mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{N}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}lg(\mathcal{N})$ of $\mathfrak{q}$ is proper.

Let us end this paper with the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5.8. *Let* $\mathcal{N}$ *and* $\mathcal{M}$ *be nests on Banach spaces* X *and* Y *over the (real or complex) field* $\mathbb{F}$ *and let* $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$ *and* $\mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{M}$ *be the associated nest algebras, respectively. Then a mapping* $\Phi\colon \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{M}$ *is a Lie triple ring isomorphism, that is,* Φ *is additive, bijective and satisfies* $\Phi([[A, B], C]) = [[\Phi(A), \Phi(B)], \Phi(C)]$ *for all* $A, B, C \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$*, if and only if* Φ *has the form* $\Phi(A) = \Psi(A) + h(A)I$ *for all* $A \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$*, where* Ψ *is a ring isomorphism or the negative of a ring anti-isomorphism between the nest algebras and* h *is an additive functional satisfying* $h([[A, B], C]) = 0$ *for all* $A, B, C \in \mathrm{Alg}\mathcal{N}$*.*

Acknowledgements

This research was done when the first author visited the School of Mathematics and Statistics at Beijing Institute of Technology in the summer of 2014. She takes this opportunity to express her sincere thanks to the School of Mathematics and Statistics and the Office of International Affairs at Beijing Institute of Technology for the hospitality extended to them during her visit. We are deeply grateful to a special Training Program of International Exchange and Cooperation of the Beijing Institute of Technology. All authors are thankful to Professor Dominik Benkovič and Professor Daniel Eremita for their careful reading and constructive comments for the original manscript.

References

[1] Z. -F. Bai, S. -P. Du and J. -C. Hou, *Multiplicative Lie isomorphisms between prime rings*, Comm. Algebra, **36** (2008), 1626-1633.

[2] Z. -F. Bai, S. -P. Du and J. -C. Hou, *Multiplicative $*$-Lie isomorphisms between factors*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **346** (2008), 327-335.

[3] R. Banning and M. Mathieu, *Commutativity preserving mappings on semiprime rings*, Comm. Algebra, **25** (1997), 247-265.

[4] K. I. Beidar, *On functional identities and commuting additive mappings*, Comm. Algebra, **26** (1998), 1819-1850.

[5] K. I. Beidar, M. Brešar and M. A. Chebotar, *Functional identities on upper triangular matrix algebras*, J. Math. Sci., **102** (2000) 4557-4565.

[6] K. I. Beidar, M. Brešar, M. A. Chebotar and W. S. Martindale, 3rd, *On Herstein's Lie Map Conjectures, III*, J. Algebra, **249** (2002), 59-94.

[7] K. I. Beidar, W. S. Martindale, 3rd and A. V. Mikhalev, *Lie isomorphisms in prime rings with involution*, J. Algebra, **169** (1994), 304-327.

[8] D. Benkovič, *Lie derivations on triangular matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **55** (2007), 619-626.

[9] D. Benkovič *Biderivations of triangular algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **431** (2009), 1587-1602.

[10] D. Benkovič, *Generalized Lie derivations on triangular algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **434** (2011), 1532-1544.

[11] D. Benkovič, *Lie triple derivations on triangular matrices*, Algebra Colloq., **18** (2011), Special Issue No.1, 819-826.

[12] D. Benkovič, *Lie triple derivations of unital algebras with idempotents*, linear Multilinear Algebra, In Press, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2013.851200.

[13] D. Benkovič and D. Eremita, *Commuting traces and commmutativity preserving maps on triangular algebras*, J. Algebra, **280** (2004), 797-824.

[14] D. Benkovič and D. Eremita, *Multiplicative Lie n-derivations of triangular rings*, Linear Algebra Appl., **436** (2012), 4223-4240.

[15] D. Benkovič and N. Širovnik, *Jordan derivations of unital algebras with idempotents*. Linear Algebra Appl., **437** (2012), 2271-2284.

[16] C. Boboc, S. Dăscălescu and L. van Wyk, *Isomorphisms between Morita context rings*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **60** (2012), 545-563.

[17] M. Brešar, *On a generalization of the notion of centralizing mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **114** (1992), 641-649.

[18] M. Brešar, *Commuting traces of biadditive mappings, commutativity-preserving mappings and Lie mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **335** (1993), 525-546.

[19] M. Brešar, *Centralizing mappings and derivations in prime rings*, J. Algebra, **156** (1993), 385-394.

[20] M. Brešar, *Commuting maps: a survey*, Taiwanese J. Math., **8** (2004), 361-397.

[21] M. Brešar, M. A. Chebotar and W.S. Martindale 3rd, *Functional identities, Frontiers in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.

[22] M. Brešar and D. Eremita and A. R. Villena, *Functional identities in Jordan algebras: associating traces and Lie triple isomorphisms*, Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 1207-1234.

[23] M. Brešar and Š. Špenko, *Functional identities on matrix algebras*, arXiv:[math.RA] 1403.5454.
[24] W. P. Brown, *Generalized matrix algebras*, Canad. J. Math., **7** (1955), 188-190.
[25] A. J. Calderón Martín , *Graded triangular algebras*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra, **27** (2014), 317-331.
[26] A. J. Calderón Martín and C. Martín González, *Lie isomorphisms on H^*-algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **31** (2003), 323-333.
[27] A. J. Calderón Martín and C. Martín González, *The Banach-Lie group of Lie triple automorphisms of an H^*-algebra*, Acta Math. Sci. (Ser. English), **30** (2010), 1219-1226.
[28] A. J. Calderón Martín and C. Martín González, *A linear approach to Lie triple automorphisms of H^*-algebras*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **48** (2011), 117-132.
[29] A. J. Calderón Martín and M. Haralampidou, *Lie mappings on locally m-convex H^*-algebras*, International Conference on Topological Algebras and their Applications. ICTAA 2008, 42-51, Math. Stud. (Tartu), 4, Est. Math. Soc., Tartu, 2008.
[30] W. S. Cheung, *Maps on triangular algebras*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Victoria, 2000. 172pp.
[31] W. S. Cheung, *Commuting maps of triangular algebras*, J. London Math. Soc., **63** (2001), 117-127.
[32] W. S. Cheung, *Lie derivations of triangular algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **51** (2003), 299-310.
[33] D. Ž. Doković, *Automorphisms of the Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices over a connected commutative ring*, J. Algebra, **170** (1994), 101-110.
[34] G. Dolinar, *Maps on upper triangular matrices preserving Lie products*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, **55** (2007), 191-198.
[35] G. Dolinar, *Maps on M_n preserving Lie products*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **71** (2007), 467-477.
[36] Y. Du and Y. Wang, *k-commuting maps on triangular algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **436** (2012), 1367-1375.
[37] Y. Du and Y. Wang, *Lie derivations of generalized matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **437** (2012) 2719-2726.
[38] Y. Du and Y. Wang, *Biderivations of generalized matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **438** (2013), 4483-4499.
[39] D. Eremita, *Functional identities of degree 2 in triangular rings*, Linear Algebra Appl., **438** (2013), 584-597.
[40] D. Eremita, *Functional identities of degree 2 in triangular rings revisited*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, In press, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2013.877012.
[41] A. Fošner, F. Wei and Z. -K. Xiao, *Centralizing traces and Lie triple isomorphisms on triangular algebras*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, In Press, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2014.932356.
[42] W. Franca, *Commuting maps on some subsets of matrices that are not closed under addition*, Linear Algebra Appl., **437** (2012), 388-391.
[43] W. Franca, *Commuting maps on rank-k matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl., **438** (2013), 2813-2815.
[44] I. N. Herstein, *Lie and Jordan structures in simple, associative rings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **67** (1961), 517-531.
[45] L. Hua, *A theorem on matrices over an sfield and its applications*, J. Chinese Math. Soc. (N.S.), **1** (1951), 110-163.
[46] R. Khazal, S. Dăscălescu and L. van Wyk, *Isomorphism of generalized triangular matrix rings and recovery of tiles*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., **9** (2003), 533-538.
[47] P. A. Krylov, *Isomorphism of genralized matrix rings*, (Russian) Algebra Logika, **47** (2008), 456-463; Engl. Transl.: Algebra Logic, **47** (2008), 258-262.
[48] P. A. Krylov, *Injective modules over formal matrix rings*, (Russian) Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., **51** (2010), 90-97; Engl. Transl.: Sib. Math. J., **51** (2010), 72-77.
[49] P. A. Krylov, *The group K_0 of a generalized matrix ringIsomorphism of genralized matrix rings*, (Russian) Algebra Logika, **52** (2013), 370-385; Engl. Transl.: Algebra Logic, **52** (2013), 250-261.
[50] P. A. Krylov and A. A. Tuganbaev, *Modules over formal matrix rings*, (Russian) Fundam. Prikl. Mat., **15** (2009), 145-211; Engl. Transl.: J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.), **171** (2010), 248-295.

[51] P. -H. Lee, T. -L. Wong, J. -S. Lin and R. -J. Wang, *Commuting traces of multiadditive mappings*, J. Algebra, **193** (1997), 709-723.
[52] Y. -B. Li and F. Wei, *Semi-centralizing maps of genralized matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **436** (2012), 1122-1153.
[53] Y. -B. Li and F. Wei, *k-Commuting mappings of generalized matrix algebras*, arXiv:[math.RA] 1111.6316.
[54] Y. -B. Li, L. van Wyk and F. Wei, *Jordan derivations and antiderivations of genralized matrix algebras*, Oper. Matrices, **7** (2013), 399-415.
[55] C. -K. Liu, *Centralizing maps on invertible or singular matrices over division rings*, Linear Algebra Appl., **440** (2014), 318-324.
[56] C. -K. Liu, *Centralizing maps on rank-k matrices over division rings*, Preprint.
[57] F. -Y. Lu, *Lie isomorphisms of reflexive algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **240** (2006), 84-104.
[58] L. W. Marcoux and A. R. Sourour, *Commutativity preserving maps and Lie automorphisms of triangular matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **288** (1999), 89-104.
[59] L. W. Marcoux and A. R. Sourour, *Lie isomorphisms of nest algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **164** (1999), 163-180.
[60] M. Mathieu, *Lie mappings of C^*-algebras*, Nonassociative algebra and its applications, 229-234, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **211**, Dekker, New York, 2000.
[61] C. R. Miers, *Lie isomorphisms of factors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **147** (1970), 55-63.
[62] C. R. Miers, *Lie homomorphsism of operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **38** (1971), 717-735.
[63] C. R. Miers, *Lie triple derivations of von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **71** (1978), 57-61.
[64] K. Morita, *Duality for modules and its applications to the theory of rings with minimum condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Diagaku Sect. A, **6** (1958), 83-142.
[65] X. -F. Qi and J. -C. Hou, *Characterization of ξ-Lie multiplicative isomorphisms*, Oper. Matrices, **4** (2010), 417-429.
[66] X. -F. Qi and J. -C. Hou, *Characterization of Lie multiplicative isomorphisms between nest algebras*, Sci. China Math., **54** (2011), 2453-2462.
[67] X. -F. Qi and J. -C. Hou, *Characterization of k-commuting additive maps on rings*, Linear Algebra Appl., (2014), In Press, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2013.12.038.
[68] X. -F. Qi, J. -C. Hou and J. Deng, *Lie ring isomorphisms between nest algebras on Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **266** (2014), 4266-4292.
[69] P. Šemrl, *Non-linear commutativity preserving maps*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **71** (2005), 781-819.
[70] A. R. Sourour, *Maps on triangular matrix algebras*, Problems in applied mathematics and computational intelligence, 92-96, Math. Comput. Sci. Eng., World Sci. Eng. Soc. Press, Athens, 2001.
[71] T. Wang and F. -Y. Lu, *Lie isomorphisms of nest algebras on Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **391** (2012), 582-594.
[72] Y. Wang and Y. Wang, *Multiplicative Lie n-derivations of generalized matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **438** (2013), 2599-2616.
[73] T. -L. Wong, *Jordan isomorphisms of triangualr rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 3381-3388.
[74] Z. -K. Xiao and F. Wei, *Commuting mappings of generalized matrix algebras*, Linear Algebra Apll., **433** (2010), 2178-2197.
[75] Z. -K. Xiao and F. Wei, *Commuting traces and Lie isomorphisms on generalized matrix algebras*, Oper. Matrices, Paper OaM-0837, In Press.
[76] X. -P. Yu and F. -Y Lu, *Maps preserving Lie product on $B(X)$*, Taiwanese J. Math., **12** (2008), 793-806.
[77] J. -H. Zhang, S. Feng, H. -X. Li and R. -H. Wu, *Generalized biderivations of nest algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **418** (2006), 225-233.
[78] J. -H. Zhang and F. -J. Zhang, *Nonlinear maps preserving Lie products on factor von Neumann algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., **429** (2008), 18-30.

Fošner: Faculty of Management, University of Primorska, Cankarjeva 5, SI-6104 Koper, Slovenia
E-mail address: ajda.fosner@fm-kp.si

Liang: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, P. R. China
E-mail address: lxfrd@163.com

Wei: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, P. R. China
E-mail address: daoshuo@hotmail.com
E-mail address: daoshuowei@gmail.com

Xiao: School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou, Fujian, 362021, P. R. China
E-mail address: zhkxiao@gmail.com
E-mail address: zhkxiao@bit.edu.cn